| | |
|---|---|
| **From:** | David Thompson |
| **To:** | OHFA NHTFAllocation Mailbox |
| **Subject:** | BGF comments |
| **Date:** | Thursday, August 20, 2026 2:44:20 PM |
| **Attachments:** | 2026emailsignature_59d78e5a-1d1b-4973-8c33-b12951d7712c.png |

**CAUTION:** This is an external email and may not be safe. If the email looks suspicious, please do not click links or open attachments and forward the email to or click the Phish Alert Button if available.

A few more comments:

1. For the NHTF RAD pool - I would suggest changing the Readiness to Proceed category. It could be problematic to simply "promise" a closing timeline for two reasons. First, someone could simply promise in order to maximize points. Second, even if an applicant truly thinks they can close by September, closings have a lot of other parties that the applicant doesn't control. HUD, City, Permits, ER Reviews, Subsidy Layering, government shutdowns, etc. could all be reasons for delay that are outside of the applicants control.
    a. As an alternative, I think OHFA could develop a point scale for readiness. For example, a CHAP is worth 5 points. An approved financing plan is worth X points. Etc.

Second, since spreading OHFA resources continues to be a high priority. I would suggest the first tie breaker be something related to Counties with lower participation. That could be county that hasn't been funded in X years. Or lowest number of awards in X years or lowest amount of project units funded, etc. This seems like a better tie breaker than just highest number of units when you already have a BGF per unit scoring category.

**David Thompson** | executive vice president of development
o: 513.559.5858 | www.modelgroup.net

TOP WORK PLACES 2021-2026
modelgroup
AN EMPLOYEE OWNED COMPANY